

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/911,318	07/23/2001	Edward J. Ellis	599P002	3605	
7590 12/23/2003			EXAMINER		
Kevin S. Lem		CAIN, EDWARD J			
Nields & Lema Suite B	ck	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
176 E. Main St	reet	1714			
Westboro, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				12 11 11					
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	()				
Office Action Summary		09/91	1,318	ELLIS ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit	126				
		Edwar	d J. Cain	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo									
THE I - External ferror for the control of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNING IN THIS COMMUNING IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNING IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. 0) days, a reply within the stutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be ti estatutory minimum of thirty (30) da nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror examplication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co	/. mmunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>									
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/911,318

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swan Jr.

Swan Jr. discloses padding compositions comprising petroleum based oil, wax and microspheres. The wax of the reference is seen as functioning as applicants claimed rheology modifier. The microspheres of the reference are sen as inherently possessing applicants claimed uniform wall thickness and specific gravity.

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swan Jr.

Swan Jr. discloses padding compositions as discussed above. This reference fails to explicitly recite diameters for the microspheres. It is the position of the examiner that such diameters would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art desiring to tailor the cushioning and flow to a specific purpose.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,318

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,583,199. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the fluid and the rheology modifier of the rejected claim can be one and the same material, i.e. polyisobutylene. The claims of the patent recite polyisobutylene.

Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714 Page 4

EDWARD J. CAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500